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SAGEEP 2004

- SAGEEP 2003 - we illustrated the use of combining the Euler Deconvolution with inversion for the magnetization vector
- Extending to a processing method for multiple objects of different sizes
- Synthetic Example
- Example over a Test Site
- All results and graphics generated in EMIGMA©
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Processing Overview

• Euler Depth Estimator
  i) focus on small structural index range – e.g 1.5-2.5
  ii) FFT or simple difference horizontal gradients
  iii) Measured or FFT Vertical gradients

• Process Euler Solutions
  a) Rodin Algorithm
  b) Statistical Location Processing

• Magnetization Vector Inversion
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Flow Chart for Implementing Euler Depth Estimator and Vector Inversion

Step 1. Data preparation
Gradients have to be calculated if not measured. Vertical derivative can be computed via FFT. Horizontal derivatives can be computed either by simple difference or FFT.

Step 2. Generate Initial Euler solutions
This involves setting appropriate moving window size, structural index indicating the type of anomaly. Any solution which has positive $z$, or whose distance from its respective moving window is over certain value is discarded.

Step 3. Post-process Euler Solutions by applying Rodin Algorithm
This process selects/eliminates solutions according to the spatial distribution of initial coarse Euler solutions. Only those solution having relatively high geometric concentration will be kept.

Step 4. Determine location of each individual body
Based on the spatial distance to distinguish buried bodies, clusters are split into groups, each of which identifies a body. The location of this body is calculated by means of statistics.

Step 5. Apply Magnetization Vector Inversion
A local search grid is set for each individual body and a subset of measured total data is selected. By performing an automatic iterative target volume modification according to a prescribed volume range of the buried objectives - optimum solutions giving the locations as well as the internal magnetization vectors of buried objects are produced.
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Synthetic Example - .5m x .5m data sampling - Fixed search Grid with a range of SI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>dip</th>
<th>decl</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing synthetic example data](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>-7.99</td>
<td>-1.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

True B and dB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>-2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.82</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

noisy B and FFT dB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-7.95</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

noisy B and FFT dB/dz

simple difference horizontal derivatives

- All results relatively good
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Synthetic Example - Vector Inversion – Course Grid 1m x 1m data sampling

- For the fine grid VI slightly improves the Euler results
- For a course grid the Euler solutions are poorer for noisy data

Vector Inversion Results by Processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body</th>
<th>X center</th>
<th>Y center</th>
<th>Z center</th>
<th>dip</th>
<th>decl</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Cell Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True total field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>-2.95</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-8.17</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>-8.02</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noisy total field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>-8.02</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>-8.02</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Layout of Buried Objects, Columbia Test Site, University of Waterloo

Filled circle
vertical 45 gallon drum
Volume .21m³, height 0.92m

Filled rectangle
vertical sheet
8m by 1m by 0.1m

Segment of line
horizontal pipe
diameter 0.1m
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Layout of Buried Objects, Columbia Test Site, University of Waterloo

Cesium Magnetometer (SMARTMAG) – 1m x 0.1m data sampling
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128 by 256 FFT grid with grid cell size 0.4m by 0.2m

Horizontal Derivative (North) from FFT

Cesium Magnetometer (SMARTMAG) – 1m x 0.1m data sampling
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Step 2: Generate Initial Euler solutions

Moving window - 5m by 5m, Structural index - 0.5 to 1.5 by 0.25

55375 solutions
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**Step 2: Generate Initial Euler solutions**

Moving window - 5m by 5m, Structural index - 2.5 to 3.5 by 0.25

74667 solutions
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Step 3  Rodin Processing

Artifacts?
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**Step 4: Statistical Removal Processing**

Determine location of each individual body – 1.5m distinguishing distance

- object
- deeper
- 2 solutions
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Step 4  Statistical Removal Processing

1.5m distinguishing distance

2.5m distinguishing distance
Step 4  Statistical Removal Processing

$Euler \rightarrow Rodin \rightarrow Jia \quad SI = 0.5 \rightarrow 3.25, 1.5m\ distinguishing\ distance$
Step 5  Magnetization Vector Inversion

Locations and Depths
At each individual processed solution, the total field data is automatically retrieved from a 2.5m by 2.5 m square centered on this solution and inverted for \( M(x, y, z) \).
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Step 5  Magnetization Vector Inversion

Locations and Depths – Volume Range (.05, 1.9 m³)

2.5 m by 2.5 m square

5 m by 5 m square

closer to centre
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Step 5  Magnetization Vector Inversion

Locations and Depths – Drums = h= 0.92m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jia</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Ctr</th>
<th>Top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-Jia depth to top good for shallow drums
-VI depth to center good
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Step 5: Magnetization Vector Inversion

Locations and Depths – Multiple Drums

- Jia depth to top good
- VI depth to center good too deep
- multiple M ?, constrain V ?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jia</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Ctr</th>
<th>Top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GD1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GD2</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Step 5  Magnetization Vector Inversion

Locations and Depths – Pipes – D = 0.1m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P1</th>
<th>Jia</th>
<th>VI</th>
<th>Ctr</th>
<th>Top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.5-1.5</td>
<td>0.5-1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-Jia depth to top useful
-VI depth to center good for P3 only
- multiple M’s? constrain V? Larger grid?
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**Step 5 Magnetization Vector Inversion**

Locations and Depths – Sheets – h=1m

- **Jia**
  - Depth to top quite good – error about .2m
- **VI**
  - Depth to center good for S3, S1, S4 poor
- **Multiple M’s?**
  - Constrain V? Larger grid?
CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS

- Preliminary Euler useful
- Correct use of Structural Index for Euler
- Rodin post-processing very helpful
- Statistical grouping gives initial location with good horizontal positioning and approximate depth
- Vector Inversion quick and useful but …. 

✔ Use of constrained volumes
✔ Distribution of magnetization
✔ Use of multiple Euler solutions from different SI